



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/510,550	06/09/2005	Patrick T. Rigney	ITW-13619	7338
45482 7590 07/02/2009 PAULEY PETERSON & ERICKSON 2800 W. HIGGINS ROAD SUITE 365 HOFFMAN ESTATES, IL 60169				
EXAMINER FERGUSON, LAWRENCE D				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1794				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
07/02/2009		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/510,550

Applicant(s)

RIGNEY ET AL.

Examiner

Lawrence D. Ferguson

Art Unit

1794

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Lawrence D. Ferguson.

(3) _____.

(2) Maxwell Peterson.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 30 June 2009.Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conferencec) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant2) ☐ applicant's representative]Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yese) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: All.Identification of prior art discussed: All.Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant argued the declaration distinguishes the claimed invention from the prior art by showing the specific range of polyester to polyolefin in the claimed invention achieves an unexpected result of the strapping having increased resistance to splitting in the longitudinal direction, which is not achieved in the prior art. Examiner will further consider Applicant's arguments when responding to the request for continued examination filed on May 20, 2009.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/L. D. F./
Examiner, Art Unit 1794/David R. Sample/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1794